## Application No. Applicant(s) 09/777.203 SCHMIDL ET AL. Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit OLITRUDDIN GHULAMALI 2611 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) QUTBUDDIN GHULAMALI. (3) (2) Robert N. Rountree. (4) . Date of Interview: 16 April 2012. Type: □ Personal [copy given to: □ applicant □ applicant's representative] Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes ⊠ N∩ If Yes, brief description: . Issues Discussed ☐101 ☐112 ☐102 ☐103 ☐Others (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion) Claim(s) discussed: 10 and 16. Identification of prior art discussed: none. Substance of Interview (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc...) Amendment to claims 10 and 16 requiring update of the parity bits in claim 10 and controller antecedence with claim 16 were discussed so as to put the claims in a better position to pass it to issue. The applicant's representative agrees to amend the claims as noted see examiner's amendment. Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview. Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the ceneral results, or outgoing of the interplay, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised

☐ Attachment